	Case 2:25-cv-00275-WBS-JDP Docume	ent 10	Filed 08/05/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	MICHAEL LAURENCE HOKE,	Case	e No. 2:25-cv-0275	5-WBS-JDP (P)	
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.	ORI	DER		
14	M. NUNEZ, et al.,				
15	Defendants.				
16]			
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief				
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to				
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	On July 7, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which				
21	were served on plaintiff, and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the				
22	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed				
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.				
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602				
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.				
26	See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the				
27	magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court ").				
28	Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by				
		1			

	Case 2:25-cv-00275-WBS-JDP Document 10 Filed 08/05/25 Page 2 of 2
1	the record and by the proper analysis.
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
3	1. This action is DISMISSED for failure to prosecute, failure to comply with court
4	orders, and failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the April 16, 2025 order;
5	and
6	2. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.
7	Dated: August 4, 2025
8	william & shubt
9	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2